Radiation Diagnostics for the TWP-ICE CRM Intercomparison Study

Ann Fridlind and Andrew Ackerman • NASA GISS
Jon Petch and Paul Field • UK MetOffice
Greg McFarquhar • University of Illinois
Shaocheng Xie • LLNL
Minghua Zhang • Stony Brook University
Peter May • Center for Australian Weather and Climate Research
Christopher Williams • NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory
Guosheng Liu • Florida State University
Sally McFarlane, Jim Mather and Chuck Long • PNNL
Pat Minnis • NASA Langley Research Center
Robert McCoy • Sandia National Laboratories
Courtney Schumacher • Texas A&M University

Experiment domain



Source: *Shaocheng Xie, LLNL*

Experiment goals

- make detailed measurements of cirrus microphysics and their relationship to storm structure and intensity
- characterize and document evolution
  - microphysical properties
  - large-scale environment
  - convective boundary layer
  - dynamical and radiative impacts
- verify remote-sensing data
- provide data for forcing and evaluating models

Conditions encountered



Source: *Lori Chappel, Australian BOM*

Model intercomparison studies

- interlocking studies - Jon Petch
  - Global Energy and Water cycle EXperiment (GEWEX) Cloud System Study (GCSS) - Precipitating Cloud Systems
  - Stratospheric Processes And their Role in Climate (SPARC)
- cloud-resolving models (CRMs) - Ann Fridlind
  - 16 days
- single-column models (SCMs) - Christian Jakob/Laura Davies
  - 26 days
- limited-area models (LAMs) - Maria Russo
  - several days

CRM study participants

- Ann Fridlind, NASA GISS
- Jon Petch, UK MetOffice
- Wojtek Grabowski, NCAR
- Yi Wang, PNNL
- Xiaohong Liu, PNNL
- Charles Seman, GFDL
- Annica Ekman, Stockholm University
- Axel Seifert, German Weather Service
- Virginie Marécal, University of Orléans/CNRS
- Jean-Pierre Chaboureau, University of Toulouse/CNRS

CRM study goals

- evaluate models
  - simulations and data agree?
  - data sufficient to evaluate models?
  - additional data needed?
  - methodology sufficient?
- quantify convective transport to the tropopause
  - CRM predictions consistent with measurements and theory?
  - temporal and spatial characteristics of vertical mass transport?
  - influence on water vapor near the tropopause?
  - primary uncertainties in simulations and data?

Data constraints on model performance

Physical Variable	Data Set (PI)
Precipitation rate	C-pol (May), disdrometer and buckets (Williams)
Liquid water profile	disdrometer, TCPRHP (McFarlane/Mather)
Liquid water path	MWR (Turner), TCPRHP
Ice water profile	TCPRHP, 3D-Ice (Liu)
Ice water path	VISST (Minnis), TCPRHP, 3D-Ice
Total condensate content	CSI (McFarquhar)
Total condensate path	MODIS
Water vapor	sondes (Jakob/Hume), in situ (Whiteway, Hacker)
Cloud base height	ARSCL, VISST (Minnis)
Cloud top height	ARSCL, VISST
Cloud fraction	TSI (Morris), SFA (Long), MODIS
Radar reflectivity	C-pol, S-band (Williams), disdrometer
Doppler velocity	S-band, disdrometer

Data constraints on model performance

Physical Variable	Data Set (PI)
Particle number	CIP (McFarquhar)
Particle area	CIP (McFarquhar)
Particle size	C-pol, disdrometer, TCPRHP, VISST, MODIS
Cloud optical depth	TCPRHP, VISST, MODIS
SFC broadband flux	TCPRHP, SKYRAD/GNDRAD
TOA broadband flux	TCPRHP, VISST
Column absorption	TCPRHP
Broadband flux profile	aircraft (McCoy), TCPRHP
Broadband heating rate profile	TCPRHP
Latent heating rate profile	C-pol (Schumacher)
Surface SH and LH fluxes	eddy-correlation (Beringer)

http://www.giss.nasa.gov/~fridlind/twp-ice/data

GISS simulations

- general
  - horizontal domain size = 176 x 176 km
  - periodic boundary conditions (idealized marine)
  - fixed SST = 29°C
  - interactive surface fluxes
  - large-scale forcings from variational analysis
  - baseline and sensitivity test
    - nudge Θ, water vapor at $Z > 13$–15 km, $\tau = 6$ h
    - nudge at $Z > 0.5$–1 km, $\tau = 6$ h
- example
  - vertical domain size = 24 km
  - horizontal grid = 1 km
  - vertical grid = 100–250 m
  - bulk microphysics (Grabowski, 1999)
    = cloud liquid, rain, fluffy ice, dense ice

Precipitation rate



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Peter May, Christopher Williams*

Radar reflectivity > 50 dBZ



Data: *Peter May*

Radar reflectivity > 10 dBZ



Data: *Peter May*

Liquid water profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Liquid water profile



Data: *ECMWF*

Ice water profile



Data: *Guosheng Liu*

Ice water profile



Data: *ECMWF*

Cloud optical depth



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Cloud optical depth



Data: *Chuck Long, Pat Minnis*

Column radiative absorption



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, ECMWF*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Surface broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Chuck Long*

Top-of-atmosphere broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Top-of-atmosphere broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Top-of-atmosphere broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Pat Minnis*

Top-of-atmosphere broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Top-of-atmosphere broadband flux



Data: *Shaocheng Xie, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, Pat Minnis*

Broadband flux profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Broadband flux profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Broadband flux profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Broadband flux profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

In situ data



Data: *Tim Tooman/Bob McCoy, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

In situ data



Data: *Tim Tooman/Bob McCoy, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

In situ data



Data: *Tim Tooman/Bob McCoy, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

In situ data



Data: *Tim Tooman/Bob McCoy, Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Net broadband flux profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, ECMWF*

Net broadband flux profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather, ECMWF*

Radiative heating profile



Data: *Sally McFarlane/Jim Mather*

Latent heating profile



Data: *Courtney Schumacher*

Summary

- first goal: model evaluation
  - did we miss any useful diagnostics?
    - http://science.arm.gov/wg/cpm/scm/scmic6 (table 1)
    - direct versus diffuse?
    - spectral?
  - do you have any comments?
    - http://www.giss.nasa.gov/~fridlind/twp-ice/data (images)
    - positive or negative
    - requested diagnostics can still be changed
- second goal: convective transport (water vapor)